Bringing People and Nature Together



ReconnectWithNature.org

17540 W. Laraway Road Joliet, IL 60433 815.727.8700 • Fax 815.722.3608 BOARD OFFICERS Joe VanDuyne, President Rachel Ventura, Vice President Tyler Marcum, Treasurer Mica Freeman, Secretary

2022-2023 Deer Management Plan

Background

The Forest Preserve District of Will County (FPDWC) was established in 1927 to "acquire... and hold lands containing one or more natural forests or parts thereof or land or lands connecting such forests or parts thereof, or lands capable of being reforested, or capable of being restored to a natural condition, for the purpose of protecting and preserving the flora, fauna, and scenic beauties within such district, and to restore, restock, protect, and preserve the natural forests and such lands together with their flora and fauna, as nearly as may be, in their natural state and condition, for the purpose of the education, pleasure, and recreation of the public" (70 ILCS 805/5). Beginning in the early 1990s FPDWC staff noticed deer browse lines in several forest preserves. In 1993, the FPDWC began to document the number of deer in the forest preserve system using aerial surveys (Appendix A). Survey crews of two or more persons counted deer between December and March, ideally when the snow is less than three days old, at least three inches deep, and in the absence of foliage to allow better visibility. Without these conditions, it is extremely difficult to observe deer that blend into the brown backdrop of winter. Surveys indicated deer densities that exceed densities of 20 deer per square mile, which is widely considered the maximum density allowable to maintain plant community quality and diversity. The FPDWC also wanted to determine impacts to the vegetation within the affected habitats caused by high deer numbers. Numerous deer browse studies have been conducted on FPDWC properties that indicate significant deer browse pressure from high deer densities result in negative shifts in species composition, decreases in diversity, and an overall decline in the quality of these natural areas. It was also noted during the pilot year of deer management that where there is a lack of preferred native forage, likely due to decades of heavy deer browse, the deer are turning to plants from which they get less nutritional value.

Current regional research and deer management programs use deer densities as a meter to help determine the scale of their deer problem. The damage that white-tailed deer do to local ecosystems, specifically plant communities, is measured to determine the success rate of a program, while deer density numbers provide a guideline for establishing removal targets. Generally, organizations in northeastern Illinois target 10-30 deer per square mile and adjust their plans accordingly over time as recovery in the plant communities occurs and the structure of the deer herds are influenced by removing specific numbers of the animals annually. Current density numbers when looked at in conjunction with floristic surveys and deer browse data indicate that the high numbers of white-tailed deer are major contributors to the altering of ecosystems in Will County Forest Preserves.

During the 2021-2022 season, staff removed a total of 280 deer, including the deer removed at the request of IDNR for Chronic Wasting Disease (CWD) monitoring. The winter of 2022-2023 will be the twelfth year of the District's deer management program. During the winter of 2021-2022, aerial surveys were conducted at twenty-nine Forest Preserves. The eight management areas selected for deer removal this season are: Lockport Prairie Nature Preserve, Hickory Creek Preserve, Thorn Creek Woods Nature Preserve, Goodenow Grove Nature Preserve, the Romeoville Prairie Complex, the Messenger Woods Complex, McKinley Woods Preserve, and Raccoon Grove Nature Preserve. The Kankakee Sands Complex is part of an ongoing CWD surveillance program and will be included in the deer management program at the request of the Illinois Department of Natural Resources. These nine management units range in size from 213 to 1,541 acres and are undergoing habitat management and restoration efforts. This season, the FPDWC proposes removing a total of 250 deer from the preserves listed (Table 2). Although the proposed removal numbers will not bring all populations to the target density of 10 deer per square mile, they have been determined as feasible goals based on experience culling these locations and available resources. The FPDWC expects to continue deer management in the subsequent years to reach browse and density goals.

Program Goals

The FPDWC deer management program goal is to establish and maintain white-tailed deer populations at densities that allow for a sustainable relationship between biological diversity and habitat structure. Succinctly, the deer population will be reduced to allow vegetation to recover from excessive browse.

Program Objectives

The program objectives are as follows:

- 1. Reduce deer browse damage to acceptable levels to promote the recovery of species diversity and community structure
- 2. Monitor deer browse rates on target species to evaluate the effectiveness of deer management efforts over time
- 3. Reduce and maintain deer populations at a target density of 10 deer per square mile within selected management sites

Site Descriptions

Lockport Prairie Nature Preserve (LPN)

(Sections 22 & 27: Township 36N. - Range 10E.) (0.49 square miles counted**)

Lockport Prairie Nature Preserve, a unique and critically endangered dolomite prairie and wetland habitat, is located on the west side of the Des Plaines River, both north and south of Division Street, east of Route 53 between the cities of Lockport and Crest Hill. This area is considered one of the highest quality dolomite prairie remnants left in Illinois, containing calcareous fens and seeps, sedge meadow and wetland communities. LPN supports many listed species on both the federal and state level. There is limited public access to this 320-acre site, and the site is actively managed with prescribed burns, native plant seeding, invasive species removal, and hydrological restoration efforts in order to enhance and restore the entire property. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers is funding a five-year (2019-2023) Aquatic Ecosystem Restoration Project at LPN, which includes significant invasive species removal and native plantings. Given the exceptionally high quality of Lockport Prairie, the significant investment in ecosystem restoration being completed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and the installation of approximately 88,000 native plants in 2021 and 2022, a low deer density is needed to reduce browse pressure on the native plantings and facilitate habitat recovery. This site has been a part of the deer management program since its inception in 2010.

Hickory Creek Preserve (HCP)

(Sections 13, 14, & 24: Township 35N. - Range 11E. & Sections 16, 17, 18, 19, & 20: Township 35N. - Range 12E.) (2.41 square miles counted**)

Hickory Creek Preserve is a 1,541-acre mosaic of natural communities including woodland, wetland, barrens, and prairie around numerous public use amenities, all of which is surrounded by private residential properties. This site is a sprawling preserve surrounded by suitable habitat on private property, both capable of supporting a large population of deer. The terrain ranges from flat, to rolling, to steeply sloped areas. This preserve has varying degrees of natural community quality, including some high-quality areas, and provides habitat for several highly conservative species. HCP receives regular management in the form of prescribed burning, invasive species control, selective woody removals, and plantings to maintain higher quality areas while improving more degraded sections. HCP has been a part of the deer management program since 2013.

Thorn Creek Woods Nature Preserve (TCN)

(Sections 1,2,11 & 12: Township 34N. - Range 13E) (1.6 square miles counted**)

Thorn Creek Woods Nature Preserve is a 1,025-acre preserve in Park Forest and University Park that is managed by the Forest Preserve District of Will County. It is owned by multiple partners including FPDWC, the Village of Park Forest, and University Park; all of whom comprise the Thorn Creek Woods Management Commission. TCN contains upland, bottomland, forested land, glacial potholes, ravines, prairie, and wetlands. The preserve has over three miles of hiking trails. Ecological management activities include limited invasive species control, prescribed burning, and seeding activities. TCN has been a part of the deer management program since 2016.

Goodenow Grove Nature Preserve (GGN)

(Sections 23, 26, 27, 28, 33 & 34: Township 34N. - Range 14E.) (1.39 square miles counted**)

Goodenow Grove Nature Preserve is an 891-acre site located east of I-394 and north of Goodenow Road. The site is characterized by wooded areas along Plum Creek and its tributaries, as well as barrens (shrubby prairies), savannas, and grasslands. Goodenow Grove contains high quality remnants of a diverse mixture of natural communities including dry-mesic and mesic upland forests, mesic and wet-mesic floodplain forests, forested seeps, savanna, dry-mesic and mesic prairies, wet-mesic prairie/sedge meadow, marshes, and vernal pools. In recent years, the site has received extensive management and restoration including invasive species control,

prescribed burning, seeding, and planting efforts. The FPDWC's ecological management activities were assisted by a Habitat Fund grant awarded by the IDNR which contributed funding support for habitat restoration activities (2019-2021). This site has been managed for deer since the second year of the program in 2011.

Romeoville Prairie Complex (RPN): Romeoville Prairie Nature Preserve and Isle a la Cache

(Sections 26, 27, 34 & 35: Township 37N. - Range 10E. & Section 3: Township 36N. – Range 10E.) (0.90 square miles counted**)
Romeoville Prairie Nature Preserve occupies over 590-acres of the DesPlaines River Valley north of 135th Street on the west side of the river. It is dominated by prairie, sedge meadow, and marsh communities. It is comprised of predominantly high-quality remnant wet-mesic dolomite prairie and contains marsh, sedge meadow, springs, fens, and floodplain forest on shallow soils over limestone bedrock. The preserve has no public access areas and is well buffered from residential and other public spaces. The Isle a la Cache occupies 106-acres on an island in the DesPlaines River north and south of 135th Street. While the Isle a la Cache Museum and associated amenities occur in the southern unit, the preserve is flat and largely wooded with a few isolated open areas well suited for sharpshooting. There has been a management emphasis on invasive species removal, hydrological control, and the expansion, enhancement, and monitoring of the property for rare and conservative plant species. Deer management at the Romeoville Prairie Complex began in 2011.

Messenger Woods Complex (MWN): Messenger Woods Nature Preserve and Messenger Marsh Preserve

(Sections 23, 24, 25, 26, & 27: Township 36N. – Range 11E.) (1.66 square miles counted**)

Messenger Woods Nature Preserve consists of 441 acres of high-quality, remnant wet-mesic and mesic Oak/Hickory woodland, wet-mesic floodplain forests, shrub swamps, and wet prairie. Messenger Woods is widely known for beautiful, but once spectacular spring ephemeral displays. Messenger Marsh Preserve which encompasses over 620 acres of cattail marsh, woodlands, grassland, and ponds is adjacent to Messenger Woods Nature Preserve. When combined, these two preserves make up the core of the Spring Creek Greenway. Some major mitigation projects have been undertaken at both preserves including a large-scale wetland, prairie, and savanna restoration funded through the O'Hare Modernization and Mitigation Account and savanna/woodland re-creation as required mitigation by the Illinois State Toll Highway Authority for impacts related to the extension of I-355. Management activities throughout Messenger Woods include invasive species removal, understory tree thinning, prescribed burning, seeding, and planting. The Messenger Woods Complex was only part of the deer management program during the inaugural year and then reintroduced to the program in 2021.

McKinley Woods Preserve and Four Rivers Education Center (MWP)

(Sections 20, 29, 30 and 31: Township 34N. - Range 9E.) (0.82 square miles counted**)

McKinley Woods is a 447-acre site situated on bluffs above the I&M Canal and the Des Plaines River. The I&M Canal State Trail is located between the river and the canal. The preserve is characterized by steep wooded bluffs and ravines that provide a very safe backdrop for firing stations. McKinley Woods is a high use, high quality area currently receiving multiple large-scale management and restoration efforts. This includes clearing out invasive woody species such as buckthorn and honeysuckle to decrease their dominance in the existing woodlands and re-creating prairie and oak/hickory savanna over former agricultural land on the uplands above the river terrace. The Four Rivers Environmental Education Center is a 78-acre area located essentially on an island in the Des Plaines River. Except for the narrow strip of land connecting it to the mainland, this area is surrounded by water providing good isolation for sharpshooting activities. While the northern half of this site is largely open, the southern half is predominately wooded. McKinley Woods has been part of the culling program since 2010.

Raccoon Grove Nature Preserve (RGN)

(Section 32: Township 34N. – Range 13E.) (0.50 square miles counted**)

Raccoon Grove Nature Preserve is a 213-acre wooded preserve south of Goodenow Road, east of Route 50. This preserve is the remnant of an historic prairie grove and supports some of the highest quality woodland habitat including conservative spring ephemerals in Will County. It is characterized by rolling terrain, but often features steep slopes where Rock Creek has down-cut through the morainal deposits. A restored prairie area occurs on the south end of the preserve while a former residential property area on the west side provides more of an open savanna structure. The preserve receives regular burn management and invasive species control. This site was first managed for deer in 2013.

<u>Kankakee Sands Complex (KGA): Kankakee Sands Preserve, Braidwood Dunes and Savanna Nature Preserve, Sand Ridge Savanna</u> Preserve and Nature Preserve

(Sections 10, 11, 14, 15, 16, & 26: Township 32N. – Range 9D.) (2.21 square miles counted**)

The Kankakee Sands Complex is 1,414 acres comprised of four adjacent preserves in southern Will County. These preserves contain a wide variety of high-quality remnant and restored areas that support a wide variety of species unique to sands habitats. Some restoration efforts include invasive species treatments, prescribed burning, seeding, and restoring agricultural fields to prairie habitats. This unit and surrounding areas have produced five positive CWD cases since 2013.

Documentation of Problem

Deer Browse Monitoring 2022

Persistent damage from deer browsing reduces the flower and seed production of plants, thus diminishing species' ability to reproduce and persist. To measure the damage done by deer to native vegetation, single species plots are monitored each year at each of the proposed deer management sites. Plots were selected based on known populations of native plant species, with special attention given to listed species, species of concern, more conservative species, and native species, in descending order of priority. The coordinates have been recorded for each 3m radius plot. Within each plot, the total number of plants of a designated target species, as well as the number of those plants damaged by deer browse, were recorded. Plants with damage that could not confidently be identified as deer browse, were included in the total number of plants, but not in number browsed. Each season, effort is made to complete the herbaceous plots within a month of the original survey date. The deer browse data was recorded in the ArcGIS ap *FieldMaps* then loaded into an Excel spreadsheet, sorted by site, and assigned C-values as per *Flora of the Chicago Region* (Wilhelm and Rericha, 2017). Plants were categorized as generalist (C-value 0-3), moderately conservative (C-value 4-6), and highly conservative (C-value 7+). Browse rates above 30% have been deemed an unacceptable level of browse. In time, acceptable browse rates will be developed on a gradient for each C-value group. The results varied by site, but each location experienced substantial browse damage above the 30% threshold.

Table 1. Summary of deer browse rates at each management site by plant type, C-value, and total percent browsed

Site	% Forbs Browsed	% Shrubs Browsed	% Trees Browsed	% Vines Browsed	% Browse on C-value 0-3		% Browse on C-value 7+	Total % Browsed
LPN	45%	97%	N/A	N/A	N/A	38%	54%	49%
НСР	32%	38%	46%	54%	100%	37%	33%	36%
TCN	45%	97%	N/A	N/A	N/A	61%	62%	63%
GGN	41%	80%	N/A	27%	N/A	46%	57%	51%
RPN	55%	77%	56%	N/A	49%	33%	78%	57%
MWN	33%	100%	95%	N/A	N/A	37%	65%	50%
MWP	42%	57%	65%	57%	N/A	67%	34%	49%
RGN	44%	71%	60%	58%	70%	58%	52%	55%
PVP	37%	92%	88%	N/A	N/A	93%	42%	82%

^{**}Area surveyed may differ slightly from actual area of site

Proposed Methods and Procedures

The FPDWC sharpshooting program will utilize FPDWC police personnel and qualified volunteers as sharpshooters, field dressers, and for coordinating transportation of the deer carcasses to an authorized meat processing facility. Deer will be taken at bait stations by FPDWC sharpshooters, and all bait stations will adhere to the IDNR regulations for safety. Bait stations will be located at least 100 yards into management sites as per FPDWC requirements. All sharpshooter candidates will be tested and seasonally approved by the IDNR prior to deer program implementation. Each volunteer candidate must be an Illinois resident, possess a valid firearm owner's identification (FOID) card, and pass a verbal interview, background check, drug screening, and practice shooting qualification round conducted by FPDWC police before being considered for testing by the IDNR. The program will not authorize the use of archery equipment, handguns, shotguns, muzzle-loading rifles, etc. Only modern rifles firing 0.223 or 0.308 rounds are proposed for use in the sharpshooting program.

Techniques authorized under deer population control permits require that the resulting deer carcasses are suitable for human consumption. The permittee is required to have all usable deer carcasses processed at an IDNR-approved meat processing facility and to donate the processed venison to a bona fide charitable organization. FPDWC utilizes Freedom Sausage in Earlville for meat processing, and the meat is donated to the Northern Illinois Food Bank. Unusable deer carcasses must be disposed of in accordance with the Illinois Dead Animal Disposal Act. Since deer collected under deer population control permits must be used for human consumption, the FPDWC's permit season would take place during the cooler late fall and winter months (November to March).

The FPDWC must return all unused tags along with a deer removal summary within 30 days after permit expiration. The removal summary must list the tag number, location, sex, age, and physical condition of each animal collected, as well as the total amount of processed venison donated and the names of the charities receiving the donated meat. The FPDWC is responsible for all costs associated with the deer control program.

Staff has reviewed and researched current urban deer programs and recommendations extensively. The FPDWC has set the target density to 10 deer per square mile based on this research (current literature suggests that pre-settlement densities of white-tailed deer were approximately 9 deer per square mile). The target number of deer to be removed from each site (Table 2) was determined based on the stated desired density, the estimated deer population based on the most recent aerial surveys, as well as being contingent on the resources available to the FPDWC.

Activity	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr
Restoration Activities													
Conduct Deer Browse Surveys													
Prepare DPCP Application													
Submit DPCP Application to IDNR													
Train and Certify Volunteers													
IDNR Review and Approval of DPCP and Firing Stations													
Post Deer Management Updates on Website													
Mail Notification Letters to Adjacent Landowners													
Sharpshooter Qualification Testing													
Implement Culling Activities													
Conduct Aerial Deer Population Surveys													
Submit Annual Summary Report to IDNR													
Submit Annual Summary Report to Public Relations													

Figure 1. Timeline of tasks for the FPDWC Deer Management Program

Proposed Removals

The expected fall densities for the proposed deer management sites range from 22-103 deer/mi², which are well above the target density of 10 deer/mi² (Table 2). Therefore, the FPDWC proposes removing 250 deer from nine management units during the 2022-2023 deer management season. Results of the aerial surveys and rationale for proposed removals are discussed for each site below.

Lockport Prairie Nature Preserve

As part of the 2021/2022 DPCP, 15 deer were removed from LPN. This season's survey counted 23 deer on site, and the average recruitment into this population is 11 deer. The fall density is estimated to be 69 deer/square mile before management. Removing 25 deer would result in a density of 18 deer per square mile (Table 2). This is the most deer ever attempted to be removed from LPN in a season. Even though this site does consistently hold a deer population, it is not prime deer habitat. Deer numbers here are prone to high levels of fluctuation since this site is part of the river wildlife corridor. These deer quickly become gun-shy making removals difficult. These factors enforce the need for continued yearly management.

Hickory Creek Preserve

Last season, 64 deer were removed from this site. The aerial count resulted in 149 deer. With the average recruitment at 26 deer, the fall density is estimated to be 73 deer per square mile. Reducing the population by 60 deer in the 2022/2023 management season will result in a density of approximately 48 deer per square mile (Table 2). Despite heavy management since the 2013/14 season, the deer density has increased. Therefore, continued aggressive deer management in subsequent years will be necessary to reach and maintain density goals.

Thorn Creek Woods Nature Preserve

Deer control at TCN in the 2021/2022 season consisted of 50 deer being removed. This year's aerial count places the population at approximately 122 animals. Recruitment was calculated to be 32% of the aerial count, putting the fall density estimate at 103 deer per square mile. Reducing the population by 20 deer in the 2022/2023 management season will result in a calculated density of approximately 90 deer per square mile (Table 2). Continued management will be necessary to reduce the population to the target density.

Goodenow Grove Nature Preserve

Last season's efforts resulted in 50 deer being removed. Aerial counts placed the population at approximately 65 deer, however, culling pressure likely pushed deer off the preserve during the aerial surveys. The following estimates are likely underrepresenting the actual population size. With an average recruitment of 15 deer, the fall density is estimated at 58 deer per square mile. Reducing the population by 40 deer in the 2022/2023 management season should result in a calculated density of approximately 29 deer per square mile (Table 2). Continued management will be necessary to reduce the population to the target density.

Romeoville Prairie Complex

The 2021-2022 management season removed 11 deer from RPN. This season's aerial put the population at 51 deer, and the average recruitment is 23% of the aerial count. The estimated fall density is 59 deer per square mile and removing 15 deer will result in a density of 45 deer per square mile (Table 2). This complex is also a river corridor for wildlife, causing high levels of fluctuation in population counts. Consistent annual management will be required to reduce this population to the target density.

Messenger Woods Complex

This complex had only been managed once during the pilot year of the program when 49 deer were removed. Since that time, the density of the deer population has been on the incline with the absence of deer management. In the 2021-2022 season, this complex was reintroduced to the program and 30 deer were removed. The population was surveyed to be approximately 106 deer this winter, which is a density of 64 deer per square mile. Removing another 30 deer would put the density at 46 deer per square mile (Table 2). Because there is insufficient data to calculate average recruitment for this site, the FPDWC will use the surveyed density to guide management decisions. This does mean the fall deer population and expected density post-culling are likely to be underestimated until sufficient data is collected in the next few years to calculate average recruitment.

McKinley Woods Preserve

The 2021 aerial counted 4 deer at MWP, and, therefore, no culling occurred in the 2021/22 season. The low count was likely the result of the deer being pushed out of the preserve by the culling pressure rather than a real representation of population size. The 2022 count was 35 deer, and the fall 2022 density is predicted to be 70. Removing 20 deer this season should reduce the density to 45 deer per square mile (Table 2). Consistent annual management should be maintained to further reduce and maintain the population size.

Raccoon Grove Nature Preserve

No culling had occurred at RGN since the 2018/19 season due to being within the target density. The 2022 aerial counted 40 deer at Raccoon Grove, and the fall population density is predicted to be 182 deer per square mile (Table 2). The previous counts within target density could be explained by culling pressuring deer to move across the street and take refuge in Monee Reservoir. This year, 10 deer are recommended for removal in order to reintroduce culling pressure on the population, but more deer would need to be removed to reduce the population size.

Kankakee Sands Complex

The Kankakee Sands Complex has been a part of IDNR's Chronic Wasting Disease monitoring program since 2011. A positive case was discovered in the unit in 2013, and two deer tested positive in the 2020-2021 season. Surveillance efforts will continue at the request of IDNR. IDNR has requested 30 deer be removed for CWD testing this season (Table 2).

Table 2. Surveyed deer populations from the beginning of 2022 with estimated densities before and after proposed removal

	Surveyed Population	Estimated Fall Density	Proposed Removal	Estimated Density after Removals
Management Area	(# of Deer)	(Deer/mi²)	(# of Deer)	(Deer/mi²)
Lockport Prairie Nature Preserve	23	69*	25	18
Hickory Creek Preserve	149	73*	60	48
Thorn Creek Woods Nature Preserve	122	103*	20	90
Goodenow Grove Nature Preserve	65	58*	40	29
Romeoville Prairie Complex	51	59*	15	45
Messenger Woods Complex	106	64**	30	46
McKinley Woods Preserve	35	70*	20	45
Raccoon Grove Nature Preserve	40	182*	10	152
Kankakee Sands Complex***	48	22**	30	8
	Tot	al Deer to Remove:	250	

^{*}Estimated Fall density calculated by adding average recruitment calculated for individual sites to aerial survey

^{**}Estimated Fall density calculated directly from aerial survey due to insufficient data to calculate average recruitment

^{***}This site is included at the request of IDNR for CWD surveillance and control

Evaluation of Management Program

The Forest Preserve District of Will County has been managing the deer populations since 2010. Evaluation of the deer management program will be based on documenting the changes in vegetation browse rates over time and aerial population survey results. In order to make the Deer Management program more effective and efficient at reaching browse and density goals, three major changes were made to the program in the 2021-2022 season. The first change was to lower the target density from 20-30 deer per square mile to 10 deer per square mile. The second change was to include recruitment into the population estimates by developing simple population models. Preserves without culling data do not have a calculated average recruitment, therefore fall populations will continue to be underestimated at such sites until that data can be collected. Both of these changes have allowed FPDWC to reassess the number of deer required for removal to reach the new target density. It has also encouraged increasing proposed removals for high-quality sites such as Lockport and Romeoville Prairies. With current resources, it is only manageable to remove approximately 250 deer per season, which limits the ability to quickly reduce and maintain densities at all sites every season. Therefore, proposed removals were decreased for lower quality sites such as Thorn Creek and Plum Valley to allow for the increases at higher priority sites.

The third change was to update the deer browse data collection methods by utilizing permanent plots to reduce plot selection bias, allow monitoring of single species populations over time, and better reflect the effects of deer removal on browse damage levels. After a few seasons conducting browse surveys and deer management with these new methods, "acceptable" levels of damage should become apparent for each preserve. A gradient of acceptable browse levels is expected to develop with highly conservative species requiring the lowest browse rates and generalist species enduring at more moderate browse rates. Until these acceptable values can be defined, the FPDWC considers browse rates of 30% or higher to be unacceptable. With browse rates above 30% at all sites, it is clear that deer populations are still negatively impacting vegetation at these sites.

Regarding deer densities within management sites, all sites are above or expected to be above the target density of 10 deer per square mile this fall based on aerial counts and population models. Deer densities have either decreased or remained similar to last year at Lockport Prairie, the Messenger Woods Complex, Goodenow Grove, Hickory Creek, and Thorn Creek. Deer populations have increased from last year at the Kankakee Sands Complex, Romeoville Prairie, McKinley Woods, and Raccoon Grove. McKinley Woods and the Kankakee Sands Complex are expected to reach or get close to the target density after culling this season, and future removal goals can focus on maintaining the population size. The remaining sites will require continued efforts to reduce the deer populations to the target density. It has also been noted that when culling is postponed when target densities are apparently reached, there has been significant rebounds in the populations that should not be possible if the populations were actually at expected densities (e.g. Romeville Prairie and Raccoon Grove). Therefore, culling will still be recommended even if the site appears to be within target density during aerial surveys, if the previous years' count contradicts that possibility. This will hopefully alleviate the apparent rebounds that occur when culling is postponed.

Literature Cited

Downstate Forest Preserve District Act. 70 ILCS 805/5. Ch. 96 1/2, par. 6308.

Wilhelm, Gerould, and Laura Rericha. Flora of the Chicago Region: A Floristic and Ecological Synthesis. Indiana Academy of Science, 2017.

Plum Valley Preserve	Prairie Bluff Preserve	Messenger Woods and Marsh	Kankakee Sands Geologic Area	soodenow Grove Nature Preserve	Thorn Creek Nature Preserve	Raccoon Grove Nature Preserve	Hickory Creek Preserve	McKinley Woods Preserve	Lockport Prairie East	Lockport Prairie Nature Preserve	Romeoville Prairie Area	Щ	Densities (per square mile)	Plum Valley Preserve	Prairie Bluff Preserve	Messenger Woods and Marsh	Kankakee Sands Geologic Area	soodenow Grove Nature Preserve	Thorn Creek Nature Preserve	Raccoon Grove Nature Preserve	Hickory Creek Preserve	McKinley Woods Preserve	Lockport Prairie East	Lockport Prairie Nature Preserve	Romeoville Prairie Area	Preserve & Unit	Aerial Count	Plum Valley Preserve	Prairie Bluff Preserve	Messenger Woods and Marsh	Kankakee Sands Geologic Area	Soodenow Grove Nature Preserve	Thorn Creek Nature Preserve	erve	Hickory Creek Preserve	McKinley Woods Preserve	Lockport Prairie East	eve	Area	_	Area Counted (square miles)*	Appendix A
95		42	81		70	60	32	135		88	49	2000		8		72	20		247	30	75	92		38	30	2000		0.73		1.73	1.04		3.52	0.50	2.36	0.68			0.61			
75		65			72	108		L		95	71	2001		55		127	L		252	54				41	47	2001		0.73		1.94			3.52	0.50				0.43	0.66	2001		
L		45	45					L				2002				82	81									2002		L		1.84	1.79									2002		Summ
L					80	116		L				2005		L		L	L		327	58						2005		L					4.08	0.50						2005		ary of A
61		59		80			48			52	60	2006		74		136		169			155			29	54	2006		1.21		2.30		2.10			3.25			0.56	0.90	2006		erial S
			51		106	104		214				2007					132		373	52		180				2007					2.57		3.52	0.50		0.84				2007		urvey Ar
42		107	44	73	28	0	62	110		56	31	2008		57		160	112	110	99	0	200	122		24	28	2008		1.36		1.49	2.57	1.50	3.52	0.50	3.25	1.11		0.43	0.90	2008		eas, D
												2009														2009														2009		eer Cou
45	34	119	42	65			45	123		63	30	2010/2011		61	33	178	108	98			147	137		27	27	2010/2011		1.36	0.98	1.49	2.57	1.50			3.25	1.11		0.43	0.90	2010/2011		nts, and De
												2011/2012														2011/2012														2011/2012		nsities from
40	8	74	44	63	68	42	76	111		33	35	2010/2011 2011/2012 2012/2013		54		110	112	94	200	32	248	123		14	33	2010/2011 2011/2012 2012/2013		1.36		1.49	2.57	1.50	2.92	0.50	3.25	1.11		0.43	0.95	2010/2011 2011/2012 2012/2013		Summary of Aerial Survey Areas, Deer Counts, and Densities from 2000-Present at all Deer I
55	19	78	51	51	10	118	63	84	380	49	18	2013/2014 20		75	19	116	112	76	30	59	205	93	19	21	16	2013/2014 20			0.98		2.21	1.50			3.25		0.05		0.90	2013/201		ent at all De
49	11	81	50	42	47	40	73	74	160	56	52			35	12	135	110	59	73	20	175	65	00	25	47	2014/2015		0.71	1.06	1.66	2.21	1.39	1.56	0.50	2.41	0.88	0.05	0.45	0.90	2014/2015		eer Manage
31	59	110	28	37		44	55	74		43	41	2015/2016			83	183	61	52		22	132	65		21	37	2015/2016		0.71	1.06	1.66	2.21	1.39		0.50	2.41	0.88		0.49	0.90	2015/2016		Vlanagement Sites
	41		18	28	39	58	39	73	0	37	34	2016/2017			43		39	38	59	29	95	64	0	18	31	2016/2017			1.06		2.21	1.39	1.56	0.50	2.41	0.88		0.49	0.90	2016/2017		
59	16	55	24	50	36	68	39	43		54	38	2017/2018		42	17	91	54	70	56	34	93	35		27	34	2017/2018		0.71	1.06	1.66	2.21	1.39	1.56	0.50	2.41	0.82		0.49	0.90	2017/2018		
80	23	59	21	35	38	10	38	62		25	28	14/2015 2015/2016 2016/2017 2017/2018 2018/2019 2019/2020 2020/2021 2021/2022		57	24	98	46	49	59	5	91	51		25 21 18 27 12	25	2018/2019		0.71	1.06	1.66	2.21	1.39	1.56	0.50	2.41	0.82		0.49	0.90	2018/2019		
77				66	40	12	93			22	1	2019/2020		55				92	63	6	225			11	1	2019/2020		0.71				1.39	1.56		2.41			0.49		2019/2020		
103	з	56	10	67	99	0	54	5	124	56	23	2020/2021		73	ω	93	22	93	158	0	131	4	26	28	21	2020/2021		0.71	1.06	1.66	2.21	1.39	1.6	0.33	2.41	0.82	0.21	0.49	0.9	2020/2021		
77	3	64	22	47	76	121	62	43	75	46	48	2021/2022		55	s	106	48	65	122	40	149	35	18	11 28 23	51	2021/2022		0.71	1.06 1.06 1.06 1.06 1.06 1.06 1.06	1.66	2.21	1.39	1.6	0.33	2.41	0.82	0.24	0.5	1.06	2021/2022		